arXiv:1209.5078v1 [math.DG] 23 Sep 2012

CURVATURE OF ALMOST HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS AND APPLICATIONS

CHENGJIE YU[1]

Abstract. In this paper, by introducing a notion of local quasi holomorphic frame, we obtain a curvature formula for almost Hermitian manifolds which is similar to that of Hermitian manifolds. Moreover, as applications of the curvature formula, we extend a result of H.S. Wu and a result of F. Zheng to almost Hermitian manifolds.

1. Introduction

A triple (M, J, g) is called an almost Hermitian manifold where M is a smooth manifold of even dimension, J is an almost complex structure on M and g is a Riemannian metric on M that is J-invariant. There are several kinds of interesting connections on almost Hermitian manifolds (see [6]). Among them, the Levi-Civita connection which is torsion free and compatible with the metric and the canonical connection which is compatible with the metric and complex structure with vanishing $(1,1)$-part of the torsion attracted the most attentions.

The geometry of almost Hermitian manifolds with respect to the Levi-Civita connection was studied by Gray (See [8, 9, 10]) and the other geometers in the 70's of the last century. An important conjecture in this line was raised by Goldberg ([7]): An Einstein almost most Kähler manifold must be Kähler. Here, almost Kähler manifolds means almost Hermitian manifolds with the fundamental $\omega_g(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$ closed. The conjecture was proved by Sekigawa [15] with the further assumption of nonnegative scalar curvature. The full conjecture is still open. One can consult the survey [1] for recent progresses of the conjecture.

The canonical connection in crucial in the study of the structure of nearly Kähler manifolds by Nagy [13, 14]. In [19], Tossati, Weinkove

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53B25; Secondary 53C40.

Key words and phrases. Almost-Hermitian manifold, canonical connection, holomorphic bisectional curvature.

[1] Research partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11001161),(10901072) and (11101106).

and Yau used the canonical connection other than the Levi-Civita connection to solve the Calabi-Yau equation on almost Kähler manifolds related to an interesting and important program on the study of simplectic topology proposed by Donaldson [3]. Later, in [18], Tossati obtained Laplacian comparison, a Schwartz lemma for almost Hermitian manifolds which is a generalization of Yau's Schwartz lemma for Hermitian manifolds(See [22]). Moreover, with the help of the generalized Laplacian comparison and Schwartz lemma, Tossati extended a result by Seshadri-Zheng [16] on the nonexistence of complete Hermitian metrics with holomorphic bisectional curvature bounded between two negative constants and bounded torsion on a product of complex manifolds to a product of almost complex manifolds with almost Hermitian metrics. In [5], Fan, Tam and the author further weaker the curvature assumption of the result of Tossati and obtain the same conclusion which is also a generalization of a result of Tam-Yu [17].

The canonical connection was first introduced by Ehresmann and Libermann in [4]. It is a natural generalization of the Chern connection on Hermitian manifolds (See [2]) and is more related to the almost complex structure. When the complex structure is integrable, it is just the Chern connection. In this paper, by introducing a notion of local quasi holomorphic frame, we obtain a curvature formula of almost Hermitian manifolds similar to that of Hermitian manifolds. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold. Let $(e_1, \cdots, e_n)$ be a local quasi holomorphic frame at p. Then*

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p) = -\overline{e_l}e_k(g_{i\bar{j}})(p) + g^{\bar{\mu}\lambda}e_k(g_{i\bar{\mu}})\overline{e_l}(g_{\lambda\bar{j}})(p). \tag{1.1}$$

As an application of the curvature formula on almost Hermitian manifolds, we extend a result of Wu [21] to almost Hermitian manifolds.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. Let g, h be two almost Hermitian metrics on M. Then*

$$R^{g+h}(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}) \leq R^g(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}) + R^h(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y})$$

for any two $(1, 0)$ vectors X and Y where R^{g+h}, R^g and R^h denote the curvature tensors of the metrics $g + h, g$ and h respectively.

Another application of the curvature formula on almost Hermitian manifolds in paper is to give a classification of almost Hermitian metrics with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature on a product of two compact almost complex manifolds which is a generalization of a result of Zheng [24] and a previous result of the author [23]. More precisely, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.3. *Let M and N be compact almost complex manifolds. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_r$ be a basis of $H^{1,0}(M)$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_s$ be a basis of $H^{1,0}(N)$. Then, for any almost Hermitian metric h on $M \times N$ with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature,*

$$\omega_h = \pi_1^* \omega_{h_1} + \pi_2^* \omega_{h_2} + \rho + \bar{\rho}$$

where h_1 and h_2 are almost Hermitian metrics on M and N with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature respectively, π_1 and π_2 are natural projections from $M \times N$ to M and from $M \times N$ to N respectively, and

$$\rho = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{l=1}^{s} a_{kl} \phi_k \wedge \overline{\psi_l}$$

with a_{kl}'s are complex numbers.

Corollary 1.1. *Let M and N be two almost Hermitian manifolds with $\mathcal{H}(M) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{H}(N) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\mathit{codim}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}(M) \times \mathcal{H}(N), \mathcal{H}(M \times N)) = 2 \dim H^{1,0}(M) \cdot \dim H^{1,0}(N).$$

Here $H^{1,0}(M)$ means the space of holomorphic $(1,0)$-from on M and $\mathcal{H}(M)$ is the collection of almost Hermitian metric on M with nonpositive bisectional curvature. The corollary above implies that an almost Hermitian metric on a product of two compact almost complex manifolds with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature must be a product metric if one of the compact almost complex manifold admits no nontrivial holomorphic $(1,0)$-form.

Note that the local quasi holomorphic frame introduced in this paper is different with the the generalized normal holomorphic frame introduced by Vezzoni [20] since the background connection considered in [20] is the Levi-Civita connection and Vezzoni's generalized normal frame exists only on quasi Kähler manifolds. The introduced frame is also different with local holomorphic coordinate introduced in [5].

2. Frames on almost complex manifolds

Recall the following definition of holomorphic vector fields on almost complex manifolds which is a generalization of holomorphic vector fields on complex manifolds.

Definition 2.1 ([6]). Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold, a $(1,0)$-vector field is said to be pseudo holomorphic at the point $p \in M$ if $(L_{\overline{X}} Y)^{(1,0)}(p) = [\overline{X}, Y]^{(1,0)}(p) = 0$ for any $(1,0)$ vector field X on M, where $Z^{(1,0)}$ means the $(1,0)$ part of Z and L means Lie deriviative. If Y is pseudo holomorphic all over M, we call Y a holomorphic vector field.

Note that the almost complex structure may not be integrable, so we can not expect an existence of local holomorphic vector fields in general. However, for any point $p \in M$, we can find a local $(1,0)$-frame that is pseudo holomorphic at p.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. Then, for any $p \in M$, there is a $(1,0)$-frame $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ near p such that e_i is pseudo holomorphic at p for all i. We call the $(1,0)$-frame $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ a local pseudo holomorphic frame at p.*

Proof. Let $(v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)$ be a local $(1,0)$-frame of M near p and $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ be another local $(1,0)$-frame of M near p. Suppose that

$$e_i = f_{ij} v_j, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$[\overline{v_j}, v_i]^{(1,0)} = c^k_{i\bar{j}} v_k, \tag{2.2}$$

where f_{ij}'s are local smooth functions to be determined. Then

$$[\overline{v_j}, e_i]^{(1,0)}(p) = \overline{v_j}(f_{i\mu})(p) v_\mu(p) + f_{i\lambda}(p) c^\mu_{\lambda\bar{j}}(p) v_\mu(p). \tag{2.3}$$

If we choose f_{ij} such that that $f_{ij}(p) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\overline{v_k}(f_{ij})(p) = -c^j_{i\bar{k}}(p)$ for all i, j and k, then

$$[\overline{v_j}, e_i]^{(1,0)}(p) = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

for all i and j. So, $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ is a local $(1,0)$-frame on M near p such that e_i is pseudo holomorphic at p for all i. □

Due to the nonexistence of local holomorphic frame on an almost complex manifold in general, we have to introduce a notion of holomorphicity at a point that is better than pseudo holomorphic for further application.

Definition 2.2. Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. A $(1,0)$-vector field X is called quasi holomorphic at the point $p \in M$ if $[Z, [\overline{Y}, X]]^{(1,0)}(p) = 0$ for any $(1,0)$-vector fields Y and Z that are pseudo holomorphic at p, and moreover, X itself is also pseudo holomorphic at p.

From the definition, it is easy to check that a holomorphic vector field is quasi holomorphic all over M.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold and $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ be a local pseudo holomorphic frame at $p \in M$. Let X be a $(1,0)$ vector field on M that is pseudo holomorphic at p. Then, X is quasi holomorphic at p if and only if $[e_i, [\overline{e_j}, X]]^{(1,0)}(p) = 0$ for all i and j.*

Proof. Let $Y = Y_i e_i$ and $Z = Z_i e_i$ be two $(1,0)$-vector fields that are pseudo holomorphic at p. Then, it is clear that $\overline{v}(Y_i)(p) = \overline{v}(Z_i)(p) = 0$ for any $(1,0)$ vector v at p. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&[Z, [\overline{Y}, X]]^{(1,0)}(p)\\
=&[Z_i e_i, [\overline{Y}, X]]^{(1,0)}(p)\\
=&Z_i[e_i, [\overline{Y}, X]]^{(1,0)}(p) - ([\overline{Y}, X](Z_i))(p)e_i(p)\\
=&Z_i[e_i, [\overline{Y_j e_j}, X]]^{(1,0)}(p)\\
=&Z_i[e_i, \overline{Y_j}[\overline{e_j}, X]]^{(1,0)}(p) - Z_i[e_i, X(\overline{Y_j})\overline{e_j}]^{(1,0)}(p)\\
=&Z_i\overline{Y_j}[e_i, [\overline{e_j}, X]]^{(1,0)}(p) + Z_i e_i(\overline{Y_j})[\overline{e_j}, X]^{(1,0)}(p)\\
=&Z_i\overline{Y_j}[e_i, [\overline{e_j}, X]]^{(1,0)}(p)\\
=&0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. Then, for any $p \in M$, there is a $(1,0)$-frame $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ near p such that e_i is quasi holomorphic at p for all i. We call the $(1,0)$-frame $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ a local quasi holomorphic frame at p.*

Proof. Let $(v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)$ be a local pseudo holomorphic frame of M at p and $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ be a local $(1,0)$-frame of M near p. Suppose that

$$e_i = f_{ij} v_j, \tag{2.6}$$

where f_{ij}'s are local smooth functions to be determined. We first assume that $\overline{v_k}(f_{ij})(p) = 0$ for all i, j and k. Then, by the proof of Lemma 2.1, $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ is a local pseudo holomorphic frame at p.

Moreover, suppose that

$$[\overline{v_j}, v_i]^{(1,0)} = c_{i\overline{j}}^{k} v_k \tag{2.7}$$

It is clear that $c^k_{i\bar{j}}(p)=0$ for all i,j and k since $(v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_n)$ is a local pseudo holomorphic frame at p. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&[v_k,[\overline{v_j},e_i]]^{(1,0)}(p)\\
=&[v_k,[\overline{v_j},f_{i\lambda}v_\lambda]]^{(1,0)}(p)\\
=&[v_k,f_{i\lambda}[\overline{v_j},v_\lambda]]^{(1,0)}(p)+[v_k,\overline{v_j}(f_{i\lambda})v_\lambda]^{(1,0)}(p)\\
=&f_{i\lambda}[v_k,[\overline{v_j},v_\lambda]]^{(1,0)}(p)+v_k(f_{i\lambda})[\overline{v_j},v_\lambda]^{(1,0)}(p)+\overline{v_j}(f_{i\lambda})(p)[v_k,v_\lambda]^{(1,0)}(p)\\
&+v_k\overline{v_j}(f_{i\lambda})v_\lambda(p)\\
=&f_{i\lambda}[v_k,[\overline{v_j},v_\lambda]]^{(1,0)}(p)+v_k\overline{v_j}(f_{i\lambda})v_\lambda(p)\\
=&f_{i\lambda}[v_k,[\overline{v_j},v_\lambda]^{(1,0)}]^{(1,0)}(p)+v_k\overline{v_j}(f_{i\lambda})v_\lambda(p)\\
=&f_{i\lambda}[v_k,c^\mu_{\lambda\bar{j}}v_\mu]^{(1,0)}(p)+v_k\overline{v_j}(f_{i\lambda})v_\lambda(p)\\
=&f_{i\lambda}c^\mu_{\lambda\bar{j}}[v_k,v_\lambda]^{(1,0)}(p)+f_{i\lambda}v_k(c^\mu_{\lambda\bar{j}})v_\mu(p)+v_k\overline{v_j}(f_{i\lambda})v_\lambda(p)\\
=&(f_{i\lambda}v_k(c^\mu_{\lambda\bar{j}})+v_k\overline{v_j}(f_{i\mu}))v_\mu(p)
\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

If we further choose f_{ij} such that $f_{ij}(p)=\delta_{ij}$ and

$$v_l\overline{v_k}(f_{ij})(p)=-v_l(c^j_{i\bar{k}})(p),\tag{2.9}$$

for all i,j,k and l, then

$$[v_k,[\overline{v_j},e_i]]^{(1,0)}(p)=0\tag{2.10}$$

for all i,j and k. By Lemma 2.2, we know that e_i is quasi holomorphic at p for all i. This completes the proof. □

3. FRAMES ON ALMOST HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS

In this section, we recall some basic definitions for almost Hermitian manifolds and introduce a notion for almost Hermitian manifolds that is analogous to normal frame on Hermitian manifolds

Definition 3.1 ([11, 12, 6])**.** Let (M,J) be an almost complex manifold. A Riemannian metric g on M such that $g(JX,JY)=g(X,Y)$ for any two tangent vectors X and Y is called an almost Hermitian metric. The triple (M,J,g) is called an almost Hermitian manifold. The two form $\omega_g=g(JX,Y)$ is called the fundamental form of the almost Hermitian manifold. A connection ∇ on an almost Hermitian manifold (M,J,g) such that $\nabla g=0$ and $\nabla J=0$ is called an almost Hermitian connection.

For a connection ∇ on a manifold M, recall that the torsion τ of the connection is a vector-valued two form defined as

$$\tau(X,Y)=\nabla_X Y-\nabla_Y X-[X,Y]. \tag{3.1}$$

On an almost Hermitian manifold, there are many almost Hermitian connections. However, there is a unique one such that $\tau(X,\overline{Y})=0$ for any two $(1,0)$-vectors X and Y. Such a notion is first introduced by Ehresman and Libermann [4].

Definition 3.2 ([11, 12]). The unique almost Hermitian connection ∇ on an almost Hermitian manifold (M,J,g) with vanishing $(1,1)$-part of the torsion is called the canonical connection of the almost Hermitian manifold.

In this paper, for an almost Hermitian metric, the connection is always chosen to be the canonical connection ∇. Recall that the curvature tensor R of the connection ∇ is defined as

$$R(X,Y,Z,W)=\left\langle \nabla_Z\nabla_W X-\nabla_W\nabla_Z X-\nabla_{[Z,W]}X,Y\right\rangle \tag{3.2}$$

for any tangent vectors X,Y,Z and W. Note that unlike the curvature tensor on Hermitian manifolds with Chern connection, the curvature tensor R of the canonical connection may has non-vanishing (2,0)-part which mean that $R(X,\overline{Y},Z,W)$ may not vanish for any $(1,0)$-vectors X,Y,Z,W. For the $(1,1)$-part of the curvature tensor R, we means $R(X,\overline{Y},Z,\overline{W})$ for $(1,0)$-vectors X,Y,Z and W. Moreover, R is said to be of nonpositive (negative) holomorphic bisectional curvature if $R(X,\overline{X},Y,\overline{Y})\leq 0(<0)$ for any two nonzero $(1,0)$-vectors X and Y.

This notion of holomorphic vector fields introduced in the last section is somehow compatible with the canonical connection on almost Hermitian manifolds analogous to that on Hermitian manifolds.

Lemma 3.1. $\nabla_{\overline{X}}Y=[\overline{X},Y]^{(1,0)}$ *for any two* $(1,0)$*-vector fields* X *and* Y *on an almost Hermitian manifold.*

Proof. By the definition of canonical connection and torsion, we have

$$\nabla_{\overline{X}}Y=\nabla_Y\overline{X}+[\overline{X},Y]+\tau(\overline{X},Y)=\nabla_Y\overline{X}+[\overline{X},Y]. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $\nabla J=0$, we know that $\nabla_{\overline{X}}Y$ is a $(1,0)$-vector and $\nabla_Y\overline{X}$ is a $(0,1)$-vector. Therefore, the conclusion follows.

□

A local pseudo holomorphic frame for an almost Hermitian manifold play a similar role as a local holomorphic frame for an Hermitian manifold. More precisely, we have the following formula for the Christoffel symbol of the canonical connection under a local pseudo holomorphic frame.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* (M, J, g) *be an almost Hermitian manifold and* $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ *be a local pseudo holomorohic frame at* p*. Then* $\Gamma_{i\bar{j}}^k(p) = 0$ *and* $\Gamma_{ij}^k(p) = g^{\bar{\mu}k} e_j(g_{i\bar{\mu}})(p)$ *for any* i, j *and* k*.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we know that $\nabla_{\overline{e}_j} e_i(p) = 0$. So $\Gamma_{i\bar{j}}^k(p) = 0$ for all i, j and k. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & e_j(g_{i\bar{\mu}})(p) \\ =& \left\langle \nabla_{e_j} e_i, \overline{e_\mu} \right\rangle (p) + \left\langle e_i, \nabla_{e_j} \overline{e_\mu}(p) \right\rangle \\ =& \Gamma_{ij}^k(p) g_{k\bar{\mu}}(p). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence $\Gamma_{ij}^k(p) = g^{\bar{\mu}k} e_j(g_{i\bar{\mu}})(p)$ for any i, j and k. □

Similarly as on Hermitian manifolds, we can have a similar notion of normal holomorphic frame.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* (M, J, g) *be an almost Hermitian manifold. Then, there is a local pseudo holomorphic frame* $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ *at* p*, such that* $\nabla e_i(p) = 0$*, or equivalently,* $dg_{i\bar{j}}(p) = 0$ *for all* i *and* j*. We call the frame a local pseudo holomorphic normal frame at* p*.*

Proof. Let $(v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)$ be a local pseudo holomorphic frame at p and $(e_1, \cdots, e_n)$ be a local $(1, 0)$-frame at p. Suppose that

$$e_i = f_{ij} v_j, \tag{3.5}$$

where f_{ij}'s are local smooth functions to be determined. We first assume that $\overline{v_k}(f_{ij})(p) = 0$ for all i, j and k. Then, $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ is a local pseudo holomorphic coordinate at p.

Moreover, note that

$$\nabla_{v_k} e_i(p) = v_k(f_{i\mu})(p) v_\mu(p) + f_{ij}(p) \Gamma_{jk}^\mu(p) v_\mu(p) \tag{3.6}$$

where Γ_{jk}^μ is the Christoffel symbol with respect to the frame $(v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)$. So, if we choose f_{ij} such that $f_{ij}(p) = \delta_{ij}$, $\overline{v_k}(f_{ij})(p) = 0$ and $v_k(f_{ij})(p) = -\Gamma_{ik}^j(p)$. Then $\nabla e_i(p) = 0$ for all i. □

By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we have the existence of a so called local quasi holomorphic normal frame.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* (M, J, g) *be an almost Hermitian manifold. Then, there is a local quasi holomorphic frame* $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ *at* p*, such that* $\nabla e_i(p) = 0$*, or equivalently,* $dg_{i\bar{j}}(p) = 0$ *for all* i *and* j*. We call the frame a local quasi holomorphic normal frame at* p*.*

4. CURVATURE OF ALMOST HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS

In this section, we derive a formula for the curvature tensor with respect to a quasi holomorphic frame.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (M, g, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold and $(e_1, \cdots, e_n)$ be a local quasi holomorphic frame at $p \in M$. Then*

$$\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{\overline{e_j}}e_i(p) = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

for all i, j and k.

Proof. First, note that

$$[\overline{e_j}, e_i](p) = \nabla_{\overline{e_j}}e_i(p) - \nabla_{e_i}\overline{e_j}(p) = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

by Lemma 3.1. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{\overline{e_j}}e_i, \overline{e_l} \right\rangle(p)\\
=&\left\langle \nabla_{e_k}(\nabla_{e_i}\overline{e_j} + [\overline{e_j}, e_i]), \overline{e_l} \right\rangle(p)\\
=&\left\langle \nabla_{e_k}[\overline{e_j}, e_i], \overline{e_l} \right\rangle(p)\\
=&\left\langle \nabla_{[\overline{e_j}, e_i]}e_k + [e_k, [\overline{e_j}, e_i]] + \tau(e_k, [\overline{e_j}, e_i]), \overline{e_l} \right\rangle(p)\\
=&\left\langle [e_k, [\overline{e_j}, e_i]]^{(1,0)}, \overline{e_l} \right\rangle(p)\\
=&0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

This completes the proof since $\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{\overline{e_j}}e_i(p)$ is a $(1, 0)$-vector. □

We are now ready to compute the $(1, 1)$-part of the curvature tensor for an almost Hermitian manifold.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (M, g, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold. Let $(e_1, \cdots, e_n)$ be a local quasi holomorphic frame at p. Then*

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p) = -\overline{e_l}e_k(g_{i\bar{j}}) + g^{\bar{\mu}\lambda}e_k(g_{i\bar{\mu}})\overline{e_l}(g_{\lambda\bar{j}}). \tag{4.4}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p)\\
=&\left\langle \nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{\overline{e_l}}e_i, \overline{e_j} \right\rangle(p) - \left\langle \nabla_{\overline{e_l}}\nabla_{e_k}e_i, \overline{e_j} \right\rangle(p) - \left\langle \nabla_{[e_k, \overline{e_l}]}e_i, \overline{e_j} \right\rangle(p)\\
=&-\left\langle \nabla_{\overline{e_l}}\nabla_{e_k}e_i, \overline{e_j} \right\rangle(p)\\
=&-\overline{e_l}\left\langle \nabla_{e_k}e_i, \overline{e_j} \right\rangle(p) + \left\langle \nabla_{e_k}e_i, \nabla_{\overline{e_l}}\overline{e_j} \right\rangle(p)\\
=&-\overline{e_l}e_k(g_{i\bar{j}})(p) + \overline{e_l}\left\langle e_i, \nabla_{e_k}\overline{e_j} \right\rangle(p) + \left\langle \nabla_{e_k}e_i, \nabla_{\overline{e_l}}\overline{e_j} \right\rangle(p)\\
=&-\overline{e_l}e_k(g_{i\bar{j}})(p) + \left\langle e_i, \nabla_{\overline{e_l}}\nabla_{e_k}\overline{e_j} \right\rangle(p) + \left\langle \nabla_{e_k}e_i, \nabla_{\overline{e_l}}\overline{e_j} \right\rangle(p)\\
=&-\overline{e_l}e_k(g_{i\bar{j}}) + g^{\bar{\mu}\lambda}e_k(g_{i\bar{\mu}})\overline{e_l}(g_{\lambda\bar{j}})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where we have used Lemma 3.1, (4.2), Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.1. □

By Lemma 3.4, we have following direct corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *Let (M, J, g) be an almost Hermitian manifold and $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ be a local quasi holomorphic normal frame at $p \in M$. Then*

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p) = -\overline{e_l}e_k(g_{i\bar{j}})(p). \tag{4.6}$$

5. A generalization of Wu's result

In this section, with the help the curvature formula derive in the last section, we obtain a generalization of Wu's result in [21].

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. Let g, h be two almost Hermitian metrics on M. Then*

$$R^{g+h}(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}) \leq R^g(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}) + R^h(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y})$$

for any two $(1, 0)$-vectors X and Y, where R^{g+h}, R^g and R^h denote the curvature tensor of the metrics $g + h, g$ and h respectively.

Proof. Let $p \in M$, and $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ be a local quasi holomorphic normal frame at p with respect to the almost Hermitian metric $g + h$. Then, by Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{g+h}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p)\\
=&-\overline{e_l}e_k((g+h)_{i\bar{j}})(p)\\
=&R^g_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p) + R^h_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p) - g^{\bar{\mu}\lambda}e_k(g_{i\bar{\mu}})\overline{e_l}(g_{\lambda\bar{j}})(p) - h^{\bar{\mu}\lambda}e_k(h_{i\bar{\mu}})\overline{e_l}(h_{\lambda\bar{j}})(p).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{g+h}(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y})(p)\\
=&R^g(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y})(p) + R^h(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y})(p)\\
&- g^{\bar{\mu}\lambda}X^iY^ke_k(g_{i\bar{\mu}})\overline{X^jY^l\overline{e_l}(g_{\lambda\bar{j}})}(p) - h^{\bar{\mu}\lambda}X^iY^ke_k(h_{i\bar{\mu}})\overline{X^jY^l\overline{e_l}(h_{\lambda\bar{j}})}(p)\\
\leq&R^g(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y})(p) + R^h(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y})(p).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

□

Similar as in [21], we have the following two direct corollaries.

Corollary 5.1. *Let (M, J, g) be a compact almost Hermitian manifold with nonpositive (negative) holomorphic bisectional curvature. Then, there is an almost Hermitian metric on M with nonpositive (negative) holomorphic bisectional curvature that is invariant under all the automorphisms of the almost complex structure J.*

Definition 5.1. Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. Denote the collection of all almost Hermitian metrics with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature as $\mathcal{H}(M)$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold with $\mathcal{H}(M) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{H}(M)$ is a convex cone.*

6. A GENERALIZATION OF ZHENG'S RESULT

Let α be a smooth (r, s) form on the almost complex manifold (M, J). Recall that $\bar{\partial}\alpha = (d\alpha)^{(r,s+1)}$ and a $(r, 0)$-form α is said to be a holomorphic $(r, 0)$-form if $\bar{\partial}\alpha = 0$.

Lemma 6.1. *A $(r, 0)$-form α on an almost complex manifold is holomorphic if and only if $(L_{\overline{X}}\alpha)^{(r,0)} = 0$ for any $(1, 0)$ vector field X.*

Proof. By Cartan's formula,

$$(L_{\overline{X}}\alpha)^{(r,0)} = (i_{\overline{X}}d\alpha + di_{\overline{X}}\alpha)^{(r,0)} = i_{\overline{X}}\bar{\partial}\alpha. \tag{6.1}$$

The conclusion follows. □

Definition 6.1. A $(r, 0)$-form α on an almost complex manifold is said to be pseudo holomorphic at $p \in M$ if $(L_{\overline{X}}\alpha)^{(r,0)}(p) = 0$ for all $(1, 0)$ vector field X.

Lemma 6.2. *Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold and $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ be a local pseudo holomorphic frame at $p \in M$. Let $(\omega^1, \omega^2, \cdots, \omega^n)$ be the dual frame of $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ and α be a $(r, 0)$ form on M. Suppose that*

$$\alpha = \sum_{i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_r} \alpha_{i_1i_2\cdots i_r}\omega^{i_1} \wedge \omega^{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{i_r}. \tag{6.2}$$

Then, α is pseudo holomorphic at p if and only if $\bar{v}(\alpha_{i_1i_2\cdots i_r})(p) = 0$ for all $v \in T^{1,0}_pM$ and any indices $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r$.

Proof. Let $\overline{X}$ be a (1,0) vector field with $\overline{X}(p) = \bar{v}$. Then, for any indices $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r$,

(6.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(L_{\overline{X}})\alpha(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \cdots, e_{i_r})(p)\\
=&\overline{X}(\alpha(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \cdots, e_{i_r}))(p) - \alpha(L_{\overline{X}}e_{i_1}(p), e_{i_2}(p), \cdots, e_{i_r}(p)) - \cdots\\
&- \alpha(e_{i_1}(p), e_{i_2}(p), \cdots, L_{\overline{X}}e_{i_r}(p))\\
=&\bar{v}(\alpha_{i_1i_2\cdots i_r})(p) - \alpha([\overline{X}, e_{i_1}]^{(1,0)}(p), e_{i_2}(p), \cdots, e_{i_r}(p)) - \cdots\\
&- \alpha(e_{i_1}(p), e_{i_2}(p), \cdots, [\overline{X}, e_{i_r}]^{(1,0)}(p))\\
=&\bar{v}(\alpha_{i_1i_2\cdots i_r})(p).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 6.1. *Let (M, J, g) be an almost Hermitian manifold and α be a holomorphic $(1,0)$-form on M. Let h be another metric on M defined by*

$$h(u,v) = g(u,v) + \alpha(u)\bar{\alpha}(v). \tag{6.4}$$

for any two tangent vectors u and v. Then h is an almost Hermitian metric on M. Moreover,

$$R^h(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}) \leq R^g(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}) \tag{6.5}$$

for any $(1,0)$ vectors X and Y.

Proof. It is easy to check that h is an almost Hermitian metric by definition.

Fixed $p \in M$. Let $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ be a local quasi holomorphic normal frame at p for the almost Hermitian metric h. Then, $h_{i\bar{j}} = g_{i\bar{j}} + \alpha_i\overline{\alpha_i}$. Since α is holomorphic on M, we have

$$0 = \left\langle L_{\overline{e_j}}\alpha, e_i \right\rangle = \overline{e_j}\left\langle \alpha, e_i \right\rangle - \left\langle \alpha, L_{\overline{e_j}}e_i \right\rangle = \overline{e_j}(\alpha_i) - \left\langle \alpha, \nabla_{\overline{e_j}}e_i \right\rangle. \tag{6.6}$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{e_j}e_k(\alpha_j)(p) = e_k\overline{e_j}(\alpha_i)(p) = e_k\left\langle \alpha, \nabla_{\overline{e_j}}e_i \right\rangle(p) = \left\langle \alpha, \nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{\overline{e_j}}e_i(p) \right\rangle = 0 \tag{6.7}$$

by Lemma 4.1 and $[\overline{e_j}, e_k](p) = 0$. Hence, by Theorem 4.1 and Corollary [**?**],

$$\begin{aligned} &R^h_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p)\\ =&-\overline{e_l}e_k(h_{i\bar{j}})(p)\\ =&-\overline{e_l}e_k(g_{i\bar{j}} + \alpha_i\overline{\alpha_j})(p)\\ =&-\overline{e_l}e_k(g_{i\bar{j}})(p) - e_k(\alpha_i)\overline{e_l(\alpha_j)}(p) - \overline{e_l}e_k(\alpha_i)\overline{\alpha_j}(p) - \alpha_i\overline{e_l\overline{e_k}(\alpha_j)}(p)\\ =&R^g_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p) - g^{\bar{\mu}\lambda}e_k(g_{i\bar{\mu}})\overline{e_l}(g_{\lambda\bar{j}})(p) - e_k(\alpha_i)\overline{e_l(\alpha_j)}(p). \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

This completes the proof by processing the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

Definition 6.2. Let (M, J) be a compact almost complex manifold. Denote the space of of holomorphic $(1,0)$-form on M as $H^{1,0}(M)$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let M^{2m} and N^{2n} be two compact almost complex manifolds. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_r$ be a basis of $H^{1,0}(M)$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_s$ be a* *basis of* $H^{1,0}(N)$*. Let* ρ *be a holomorphic* $(2,0)$*-form on* $M\times N$ *and locally have the form of*

$$\rho=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\rho_{ij}\alpha^i\wedge\beta^j \tag{6.9}$$

where $(\alpha^1,\alpha^2,\cdots,\alpha^m)$ *and* $(\beta^1,\beta^2,\cdots,\beta^n)$ *are local (1,0)-frames on* M *and* N *respectively and* ρ_{ij}*'s are local smooth functions on* $M\times N$*. Then, there is a unique matrix* (a_{kl}) *of complex numbers with size* $r\times s$ *such that*

$$\rho=\sum_{k=1}^{r}\sum_{l=1}^{s}a_{kl}\phi_k\wedge\psi_l. \tag{6.10}$$

Proof. Fixed $y\in N$, let $(e_1,e_2,\cdots,e_n)$ be a local pseudo holomorphic frame at of N at y and $(\omega^1,\omega^2,\cdots,\omega^n)$ be its dual frame. Then,

$$\rho=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_j(y)\wedge\omega^j(y) \tag{6.11}$$

where $\theta_j(y)$'s are $(1,0)$-forms on $M=M\times\{y\}$. By Lemma 6.2, it is easy to check that $\theta_j(y)$ is a holomorphic $(1,0)$-form on M for any j. Then

$$\theta_j(y)=\sum_{k=1}^{r}b_{kj}(y)\phi_k. \tag{6.12}$$

Therefore

$$\rho=\sum_{k=1}^{r}\phi_k\wedge\sum_{j=1}^{n}b_{kj}(y)\omega^j(y). \tag{6.13}$$

It easy to check that $\sum_{j=1}^{n}b_{kj}(y)\omega^j(y)$ is a holomorphic $(1,0)$ form on N. Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}b_{kj}(y)\omega^j(y)=\sum_{l=1}^{s}a_{kl}\psi_l \tag{6.14}$$

where a_{kl} are complex numbers. This completes the proof. □

With help of Lemma 6.3 and the curvature formula in Theorem 4.1, the same argument as in [23] give us the following classification of almost Hermitian metrics on product of compact almost complex manifolds which generalizes the result of Zheng [24] and a previous result of the author [23].

Theorem 6.2. *Let M and N be compact almost complex manifolds. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_r$ be a basis of $H^{1,0}(M)$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_s$ be a basis of $H^{1,0}(N)$. Then, for any almost Hermitian metric h on $M \times N$ with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature,*

$$\omega_h = \pi_1^*\omega_{h_1} + \pi_2^*\omega_{h_2} + \rho + \bar{\rho}$$

where h_1 and h_2 are almost Hermitian metrics on M and N with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature respectively, π_1 and π_2 are natural projections from $M \times N$ to M and from $M \times N$ to N respectively, and

$$\rho = \sqrt{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{r}\sum_{l=1}^{s} a_{kl}\phi_k \wedge \overline{\psi_l}$$

with a_{kl}'s are complex numbers.

Similarly as in [23], with the help of Theorem 6.1, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6.1. *Let M and N be two almost Hermitian manifolds with $\mathcal{H}(M) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{H}(N) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\mathit{codim}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}(M) \times \mathcal{H}(N), \mathcal{H}(M \times N)) = 2 \dim H^{1,0}(M) \cdot \dim H^{1,0}(N).$$

References

[1] Apostolov, Vestislav; Drăghici, Tedi.*The curvature and the integrability of almost-Kähler manifolds: a survey.* Symplectic and contact topology: interactions and perspectives (Toronto, ON/Montreal, QC, 2001), 25C53, Fields Inst. Commun., 35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[2] Chern, S.-S. *Characteristic classes of Hermitian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **47** (1946), no. 1, 85–121.

[3] Donaldson, S. K. *Two-forms on four-manifolds and elliptic equations.* Inspired by S. S. Chern, 153–72, Nankai Tracts Math., 11, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.

[4] Ehresmann,C.;Libermann, P. *Sur les structures presque hermitiennes isotropes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **232** (1951), 1281–1283.

[5] Fan, Xu-Qian; Tam, Luen-Fai; Yu, Chengjie. *Product of almost Hermitian manifolds* arXiv:1109.2498

[6] Gauduchon, P. *Hermitian connections and Dirac operators*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. B **11** (1997), no. 2, suppl, 257–288.

[7] Goldberg, S. I. *Integrability of almost Kaehler manifolds.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 1969 96–100.

[8] Gray, Alfred. *Curvature identities for Hermitian and almost Hermitian manifolds.* Tohoku Math. J. (2) 28 (1976), no. 4, 601–12.

[9] Gray, Alfred *Nearly Käler manifolds.* J. Differential Geometry, 4, 1970, 283–309.

[10] Gray, Alfred *Minimal varieties and almost Hermitian submanifolds.* Michigan Math. J. 12 1965 273–87.

[11] Kobayashi, S. *Almost complex manifolds and hyperbolicity*, Results Math. **40** (2001), no. 1-4, 246–256.

[12] Kobayashi, S. *Natural connections in almost complex manifolds*, Explorations in complex and Riemannian geometry, 153–169, Contemp. Math., 332, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[13] Nagy, Paul-Andi.*Nearly Kähler geometry and Riemannian foliations.* Asian J. Math. 6 (2002), no. 3, 481–504.

[14] Nagy, Paul-Andi. *On nearly-Kähler geometry.* Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 22 (2002), no. 2, 167–178.

[15] Sekigawa, Kouei. *On some 4-dimensional compact Einstein almost Kähler manifolds.* Math. Ann. 271 (1985), no. 3, 333–337.

[16] Seshadri, H.; Zheng, F. *Complex product manifolds cannot be negatively curved*, Asian J. Math. **12** (2008), no. 1, 145–149.

[17] Tam, Luen-Fai;Yu, Chengjie. *Complex Product Manifolds and Bounds of curvature*, Asian J. Math. **14** (2010), no. 2, 235–242.

[18] Tosatti, V. *A general Schwarz lemma for almost-Hermitian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **15** (2007), no. 5, 1063–1086.

[19] Tosatti,V.; Weinkove, B.;Yau, S.T. *Taming symplectic forms and the Calabi-Yau equation*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **97** (2008), no. 3, 401–424.

[20] Vezzoni, Luigi. *A generalization of the normal holomorphic frames in symplectic manifolds.* Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8) 9 (2006), no. 3, 723–732.

[21] Wu, H. *A remark on holomorphic sectional curvature.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 22 (1972/73), 1103–1108.

[22] Yau, S.T. *A general Schwarz lemma for Kähler manifolds.* Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), no. 1, 197-C203.

[23] Yu, Chengjie. *Nonpositively curved Hermitian metrics on product manifolds.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), no. 4, 1469–1472.

[24] Zheng, Fangyang. *Non-positively curved Kähler metrics on product manifolds.* Ann. of Math. (2) 137 (1993), no. 3, 671–673.

Department of Mathematics, Shantou University, Shantou, Guangdong, 515063, China
E-mail address: `cjyu@stu.edu.cn`